

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/562,176	KONDO ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MICHAEL A. NEWMAN	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) MICHAEL A. NEWMAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Edward Tracy (Reg. No. 47,998). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 October 2009

**Time:** 2:50pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 5 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant was advised that claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 were in condition for allowance except for a few antecedent basis issues under 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Authorization was given to enter the required corrections via an Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

